

United States Patent and Trademark Office

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION N	O. I	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.	
10/768,264		01/30/2004	Mark J. Bcitz	KCC 4908.4 (K-C 16,733.2)	5948	
321	7590	04/06/2006	EXAMINER		INER	
	ER POWE	RS AN SQUARE	TORRES VELAZQUEZ, NORCA LIZ			
16TH FLOOR				ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER	
ST LOUI	S, MO 631	102		1771	1771	
				DATE MAILED: 04/06/2000	DATE MAILED: 04/06/2006	

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

	Application No.	Applicant(s)				
	10/768,264	BEITZ ET AL.				
Office Action Summary	Examiner	Art Unit				
	Norca L. Torres-Velazquez	1771				
The MAILING DATE of this communication a Period for Reply	ppears on the cover sheet with the	correspondence address				
A SHORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR REP	N V IS SET TO EXPIPE 2 MONITE	I(S) OB THIRTY (30) DAVS				
WHICHEVER IS LONGER, FROM THE MAILING Extensions of time may be available under the provisions of 37 CFR after SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication. If NO period for reply is specified above, the maximum statutory perio Failure to reply within the set or extended period for reply will, by statt Any reply received by the Office later than three months after the mai earned patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b).	DATE OF THIS COMMUNICATIO 1.136(a). In no event, however, may a reply be to ad will apply and will expire SIX (6) MONTHS froute, cause the application to become ABANDON	DN. timely filed m the mailing date of this communication. IED (35 U.S.C. § 133).				
Status						
1) Responsive to communication(s) filed on 26	January 2006.					
2a)☐ This action is FINAL . 2b)☑ Th	on is FINAL . 2b)⊠ This action is non-final.					
3) Since this application is in condition for allow	Since this application is in condition for allowance except for formal matters, prosecution as to the merits is					
closed in accordance with the practice under	r Ex parte Quayle, 1935 C.D. 11,	453 O.G. 213.				
Disposition of Claims						
4)⊠ Claim(s) <u>1-17</u> is/are pending in the application	on.					
4a) Of the above claim(s) is/are withdo	4a) Of the above claim(s) is/are withdrawn from consideration.					
5) Claim(s) is/are allowed.	Claim(s) is/are allowed.					
6)⊠ Claim(s) <u>1-17</u> is/are rejected.	Claim(s) <u>1-17</u> is/are rejected.					
7) Claim(s) is/are objected to.						
8) Claim(s) are subject to restriction and	/or election requirement.					
Application Papers						
9) The specification is objected to by the Exami	ner.					
10) The drawing(s) filed on is/are: a) □ ad	ccepted or b) \square objected to by the	e Examiner.				
Applicant may not request that any objection to the	ne drawing(s) be held in abeyance. S	ee 37 CFR 1.85(a).				
Replacement drawing sheet(s) including the corre						
11)☐ The oath or declaration is objected to by the	Examiner. Note the attached Office	ce Action or form PTO-152.				
Priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119						
12) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreignal All b) Some * c) None of:	gn priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119(a)-(d) or (f).				
1.☐ Certified copies of the priority docume	nts have been received					
Certified copies of the priority documents have been received in Application No						
3. Copies of the certified copies of the priority documents have been received in this National Stage						
application from the International Bure	•					
* See the attached detailed Office action for a li	st of the certified copies not receive	ved.				
Attachment(s)						
1)	4) ∐ Interview Summa Paper No(s)/Mail					
 Notice of braitsperson's Patent Brawing Review (F10-940) Information Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO-1449 or PTO/SB/0 Paper No(s)/Mail Date 		Patent Application (PTO-152)				

Application/Control Number: 10/768,264

Art Unit: 1771

DETAILED ACTION

Response to Amendment

- 1. The Declaration filed on January 26, 2006 under 37 CFR 1.131 is sufficient to overcome the LAM et al. (WO 02/102665 A1) reference.
- 2. Applicant's arguments with respect to the pending claims have been considered but are most in view of the new ground(s) of rejection.

Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 103

- 3. The following is a quotation of 35 U.S.C. 103(a) which forms the basis for all obviousness rejections set forth in this Office action:
 - (a) A patent may not be obtained though the invention is not identically disclosed or described as set forth in section 102 of this title, if the differences between the subject matter sought to be patented and the prior art are such that the subject matter as a whole would have been obvious at the time the invention was made to a person having ordinary skill in the art to which said subject matter pertains. Patentability shall not be negatived by the manner in which the invention was made.
- 4. Claims 1-5 and 11-17 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over O'CONNOR (WO 99/59907) in view of ROSLUND (US 2,428,097).

O'CONNOR discloses a strip used for an absorbent product that is made continuous by splices with are stitched across butting ends (19, 20) of the strip. In this way the spliced portions (A) of the strip can be used in the products without compromising the absorbency at the splices. (Abstract, Figures 1, 2 and 3, and also refer to page 11, fourth paragraph) The reference teaches that the strip is a nonwoven material or an air laid cellulosic material. (Page 4, first paragraph) The reference teaches assembling the strip into a product for use in moisture absorption such as for feminine hygiene products or diapers in which it is covered by at least one layer, preferably including one or more top and bottom layers so that the strip is not visible by the end user. (Page

Application/Control Number: 10/768,264

Art Unit: 1771

16, second paragraph). The Examiner equates such layer to the claimed body side liner. The reference discloses the invention substantially as claimed.

However, it does not disclose the claimed splice structure in the article.

ROSLUND teaches a cement seam for drier felts of such character that the porosity and moisture absorbing capacity of the felt at the seam will be maintained to a large degree so that such area as well as other areas of the felt may take up the moisture driven out of the paper. (Col. 1, 8-14; Col. 2, lines 33-34) The reference teaches splicing a first portion of absorbent material to a second portion of absorbent material to form a longer, continuous length of absorbent material suitable for uninterrupted sequential infeed to a processing machine. ROSLUND teaches placing a trailing end of a first portion, a, adjacent a leading end of a second portion, b; aligns the trailing end of the first portion with the leading end of the second portion and attaches a piece of the splicing material, 10, to the trailing end of the first portion and the leading end of the second portion using a solvent and pressure. (Col. 4, lines 16-40) It is the Examiner's interpretation that the splicing material of ROSLUND has a fluid permeability at least about as great as a fluid permeability of the first portion of the absorbent material and at least about as great as a fluid permeability of the second portion of the absorbent material. (Refer to Col. 1, lines 8-14, 36-54; col. 2, lines 27-42; Col. 3, lines 11-22, line 71 to Col. 4, line 14).

It would have been obvious to one of ordinary skill in the art to use the splice structure of the ROSLUND in the article of the O'CONNOR to provide an acceptable splice in a finished product that in this case will be an alternative splice structure that does not require stitching and that further maintains the fluid permeability of the absorbent material within the splice.

Application/Control Number: 10/768,264

Art Unit: 1771

. .

5. Claims 6-10 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over O'CONNOR (WO 99/59907) in view of ROSLUND (US 2,428,097) as applied above, and further in view of PLATT (US 2,495,761).

The prior art of O'CONNOR and ROSLUND fails to teach that the splicing material is thermally bonded.

PLATT shows a splicing material comprising a vinylidine chloride web, which is heat softenable (Col. 1, line 52 to Col. 2, line 8). It is further noted that the reference teaches the use of fibers made of vinylidene chloride and vinyl chloride and the use of open mesh fabrics. (Refer to Col. 1, lines 33-36 and col. 3, lines 10-21) It would have been obvious to one of ordinary skill in the art at the time the invention was made to replace the solvent softenable splicing material of ROSLUND with the heat softenable splicing material of PLATT because they are both shown to be effective splicing materials for splicing absorbent webs.

6. The prior art made of record and not relied upon is considered pertinent to applicant's disclosure.

LAM et al. (US 6,918,232 B2)

7. Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to Norca L. Torres-Velazquez whose telephone number is 571-272-1484. The examiner can normally be reached on Monday-Thursday 8:00-5:00 pm and alternate Fridays.

If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's supervisor, Terrel Morris can be reached on 571-272-1478. The fax phone number for the organization where this application or proceeding is assigned is 571-273-8300.

Application/Control Number: 10/768,264 Page 5

Art Unit: 1771

Information regarding the status of an application may be obtained from the Patent Application Information Retrieval (PAIR) system. Status information for published applications may be obtained from either Private PAIR or Public PAIR. Status information for unpublished applications is available through Private PAIR only. For more information about the PAIR system, see http://pair-direct.uspto.gov. Should you have questions on access to the Private PAIR system, contact the Electronic Business Center (EBC) at 866-217-9197 (toll-free).

Norca L. Torres-Velazquez Primary Examiner

Art Unit 1771

March 31, 2006